Excerpt from the Proceedings of the COMSOL Conference 2024 Florence

REFERENCES

Gas Diffusion Electrode (GDE) for CO2 Conversion in 2D Flow Cell Electrolyzer

S. Quesada^{1,3}, M. Alberghini², G. Blanco², D. Sassone³, A. Sacco³, A. Tommasi², C. F. Pirri^{1,3} 1. Dipartimento di Scienza Applicata e Tecnologia (DISAT), Polytechnic of Turin, Turin, Italy. 2. Gemmate Technologies s.r.l., Buttigliera Alta, Italy. 3. Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Turin, Italy.

The model is split in two components:

• **2D Flow Cell:** Considers electrodes separated by an ion-exchange membrane with a laminar flow electrolyte; GDE saturation is extruded from the 1D model.

FIGURE 1. Left: Distribution of OH⁻ over the modeled cell. Right: Highlighted region of the GDE-pore CO₂ saturation.

- 1. M. Agliuzza, C. F. Pirri, A. Sacco, "A comprehensive modeling for the CO₂ electroreduction to CO", *JPhys Energy* 6, (2024).
- 2. L. C. Weng, A. T. Bell, A. Z. Weber, "Modeling gas-diffusion electrodes for CO₂ reduction", *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 20, 16973–16984 (2018).
- 3. R. Kas *et al.,* "Modeling the Local Environment within Porous Electrode during Electrochemical Reduction of Bicarbonate", *Ind Eng Chem Res* 61, 10461–10473 (2022).

• **Cathode with GDE:** Electrolyte imbibition and evaporation are simulated as a function of the boundary pressure, and the wettability and permeability of the different domains (weak form Richard's equation and Leverett model).

A 2D GDE-based flow cell model is used for understanding $CO₂$ reduction reactions (CO₂RR) problematics and criticality. The model offers valuable results through fitted simulation that will aid optimizing experimental conditions.

To enhance understanding of lab-scale electrolyzer, a **2D flow cell model** has been implemented. This model will serve as a ground base for more detailed representations or variations occurring during the CO₂RR to understand and mitigate them.

The results are presented in terms of CO and $H₂$ faradaic efficiencies (FE), namely the ratio between the flux of species produced and the current density when applying a fixed potential difference (Figure 1A).

Reactions occurring at the interfaces of **GDE-Flow Electrolyzers** needs further research to understand mechanisms and principles due to its high setup complexity.

> FIGURE 2. Left: FE of CO and H₂ at different potentials. Right: Pore saturation through the GDE with different water contact angle inputs.

The main problem in GDE-Flow Electrolyzer is the **electro**flooding of the GDE-pores, which prevents $CO₂$ passage and thus, to perform CO₂RR. A careful cell simulation design will allow us to predict and prevent unexpected results, guide the experimental optimization and guarantying the efficiency and selectivity towards selected products (CO). Software's outputs will be verified and tuned by comparing them to the results of a corresponding experimental ones that **simulates real scenarios**.

Introduction & Goals

Methodology

$$
FE = \frac{V C_x Z F}{A V_M j}
$$

The FE and overall cell performance is determined by the GDE properties: the model simplifies the optimization of the cell architecture. Figure 2B shows saturation for different wettability.

1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 3.2 Potenziale !"! !"# !"A !"% !"& '"! '"# '"A '"% '"& Arc (m)

ISTITUTO ITALIANO

DI TECNOLOGIA

Results

V = Flux rate, C_x = Conc. CO or H₂, z = Number electrons, F = Faraday constant, A = Area, V_M = Molar volume, j = Current.